

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,791	10/756,791 01/12/2004		Dong-Kyu Lee	678-1137 (P10759)	2932	
28249	7590	09/08/2006		EXAMINER .		
		ARRESE, LLP	RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR			
333 EARLE UNIONDA		TON BLVD. 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
01.101.101	,			2614		
			•	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/756,791	LEE, DONG-KYL	LEE, DONG-KYU				
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply- reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUN 5(a). In no event, however, may a Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 26 lui	na 2006						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)		-		tters innesecution as to th	a marite is				
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Diamonit	·	oo anaon 27	c parto quayro, 1000 o.	J. 11, 400 G.G. 210.					
_	on of Claims		•						
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🔲	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any object	•	•	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		*		:FR 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		•	•	• •				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			•						
Attachmen	• •		" (
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948\		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 5-5-6)		Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/756,791

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grube et al. (US PAT: 5,778,304, hereinafter Grube) in view of Mukherjee (US PAT: 7,039,431 B2, filed 10-4-2001)

Regarding claim1, Grube teaches the following: storing a first information indicating whether the multifunctional mobile communication terminal is provided with a private mobile communication service and whether the terminal is allowed to use additional function in the public/private stored cell area, transmitting a system parameter message to the multifunctional mobile communication terminal located in the public/private shared cell area, and receiving a request message which includes a second information indicating whether the located terminal corresponds to the system parameter message and has additional function, and checking whether the located terminal being provided with the private mobile communication service and whether the located terminal is allowed to use additional function in the private/public shared cell area including an additional function restriction code to the located terminal if the located terminal is a terminal in restricted from using the additional function (figs. 1-3, col. 2 lines 13-67; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22).

Grube differs from claim 1 in that although Grube teaches interaction between a mobile terminals (102/103, fig. 1) and communication controller (101, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 15-19); he does not specifically teach the following: when the apparatus has received the location registration request message and transmitting location registration response message for providing communication function.

However, Mukherjee discloses system for providing subscriber features within a telecommunications network which teaches apparatus receiving location registration request message and transmitting location registration response message for providing communication function (fig. 2, col. 4, line 38 – col. 5, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ar5t at the time invention was made to modify Grbe's system to provide for the following: when the apparatus has received the location registration request message and transmitting location registration response message for providing communication function as this arrangement would facilitate providing necessary communication function following registration process as taught by Mukherjee.

Regarding claim 7, Grube teaches the following: transmitting a location message including a second information to the apparatus when the multifunction mobile communication terminal is located in the public/private shared cell area, the second information representing whether the located terminal has additional function corresponding to a system parameter message transmitted from the apparatus, and setting a mode restricting the execution of the additional function, including the

Application/Control Number: 10/756,791

Art Unit: 2614

additional function restriction code from the apparatus (figs. 1-3, col. 2 lines 13-67; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22).

Grube differs from claim 7 in that although Grube teaches interaction between a mobile terminals (102/103, fig. 1) and communication controller (101, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 15-19); he does not specifically teach terminal transmitting/receiving a location registration and response message.

However, Mukherjee teaches the following: transmitting/receiving a location registration and response message to provide communication function (fig. 2, col. 4, line 38 – col. 5, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ar5t at the time invention was made to modify Grbe's system to provide for the following: transmitting/receiving a location registration and response message as this arrangement would facilitate providing necessary communication function following registration process as taught by Mukherjee.

Regarding claims 2-3, Grube further teaches the following: method is performed by a public/private communication service apparatus, setting a mode restricting the execution of addional function depending on the interpretation of the additional function restriction code, when the located terminal has received location registration response message from the apparatus (col. 2 lines 41-67).

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grube in view of Mukherjee as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hunter (US2002/0106202A1).

The combination differs from claims 4-6 in that he does not teach the following: restriction code is a code for restricting a digital image photograph function, restriction mode is mode for restricting use of a digital image photograph function, restriction mode for restricting storage of data generated by digital photograph.

However, Hunter discloses portable camera which teaches the following: restriction code is a code for restricting a digital image photograph function, restriction mode is mode for restricting use of a digital image photograph function, restriction mode for restricting storage of data generated by digital photograph (restricting storage of data generated by digital photograph is implied in as much as the camera is prohibited from taking pictures: paragraphs: 0031-0033).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ar5t at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: restriction code is a code for restricting a digital image photograph function, restriction mode is mode for restricting use of a digital image photograph function, restriction mode for restricting storage of data generated by digital photograph as this arrangement would facilitate restricting the photographing/storing photographic information in certain sensitive areas as taught by Hunter (paragraphs: 0004-0005), thus protecting sensitive areas from being photographed.

4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grube in view of Stern et al. (US2003/0008662A1, filed 7-9-2001, hereinafter Stern) and Mukheriee.

Regarding claims 8-9, Grube teaches the following: storing first information in a public/private communication service apparatus indicating whether the multifunctional mobile communication terminal is provided with a private mobile communication service, transmitting a message by the including the second information to the apparatus, multifunctional mobile communication terminal is located in the public/private communication shared cell area, checking by the public/private communication service apparatus whether the located terminal is a terminal provided with the private mobile communication service, transmitting an additional function restriction code to the located terminal if the located terminal is terminal restricted from using the service function, setting the mode restriction function for service from other terminals, when the terminal has received the response message and first information identifies that the multifunction mobile communication terminal is not allowed to use the service function in the public/private shared cell area (figs. 1-3, col. 13-67; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22).

Grube differs from claims 8-9 in that he does not teach the following: terminal multifunction mobile communication terminal is not allowed use the download/store function in the public/private communication shared cell area; Grube further differs from claims 8-9 in that although Grube teaches interaction between a mobile terminals (102/103, fig. 1) and communication controller (101, fig. 1, col. 2 lines 15-19); he does not specifically teach the following: apparatus receiving a location registration request message, and transmitting a location registration response message for use of communication function.

However, Stern discloses systems and methods wherein mobile user device operates accordance with a location policy and user device information which teaches the following: terminal multifunction mobile communication terminal is not allowed use the download/store function in the public/private communication shared cell area (paragraphs: 0030, 0033); and Mukherjee teaches apparatus receiving a location registration request message, and transmitting a location registration response message for use of communication function (fig. 2, col. 4, line 38 – col. 5, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Grube's system to provide for the following: terminal multifunction mobile communication terminal is not allowed use the download/store function in the public/private communication shared cell area as this arrangement would provide means to enforce use policy in a given location as taught by Stern (paragraphs: 0009-0010); apparatus receiving a location registration request message, and transmitting a location registration response message for use of communication function as this arrangement would facilitate providing necessary communication function following registration process as taught by Mukherjee.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643